

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/631,997	GILL, HARDAYAL SINGH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M Bernatz	1773	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin M Bernatz.

(2) Dominic Kotab.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 April 2005

Time: AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all

Claims discussed:

1, 12, 17, 22 and 28

Prior art documents discussed:

all

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner indicated that amendment to better define what is meant by the claimed "nanoconstricted area", specifically to define that it encompasses a portion around the junction of the free and pinned areas, which are adjacent to each other in a track width direction and is the region which has a smaller height than the portions of the pinned and free areas outside the nanoconstricted area, would appear to distinguish over the prior art of record. The Examiner further suggested some minor amendments to correct formatting and to better clarify what is meant by the "free area" versus the "pinned area". Applicants' agreed to the proposed changes..